

THE FACTORS AFFECTING AUTONOMOUS LEARNING OF COLLEGE STUDENTS IN DAZHOU CITY, CHINA

Zhuoyun Li* and Supaluk Satpretpry

Master of Educational Administration, Southeast Asia University, Thailand

*Corresponding author: S6746B20009@sau.ac.th

Abstract This study investigates the factors affecting autonomous learning of college students in Dazhou City, with a particular focus on five predictors: learning outcomes, learning motivation level, learning engagement, self regulatory ability, and learning satisfaction. The target population consisted of college students, from which 296 valid responses were obtained. A structured questionnaire with 60 items was developed to measure the five core variables. Content validity was evaluated by five experts using the Index of Item-Objective Congruence (IOC), with all items achieving scores between 0.8 and 1.00. Reliability analysis confirmed high internal consistency, as Cronbach's Alpha coefficients were between 0.925 and 0.936. Data were collected using both online and paper-based formats to maximize participation, while ethical procedures ensured confidentiality and anonymity. The collected responses were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation, and multiple regression analysis. Data normality was confirmed, as skewness and kurtosis values remained within acceptable thresholds. The findings revealed were as follow:

The study revealed that college students reported moderate to high levels across learning outcomes ($\bar{x}=3.52$, s.d.=0.88), learning motivation level ($\bar{x}=3.47$, s.d.=0.92), learning engagement ($\bar{x}=3.46$, s.d.=0.89), self regulatory ability ($\bar{x}=3.42$, s.d.=0.95), learning satisfaction ($\bar{x}=3.49$, s.d.=0.93), and autonomous learning ($\bar{x}=3.49$, s.d.=0.90), reflecting general acceptance with noticeable gaps for improvement. Item-level analysis indicated that students expressed the highest satisfaction with learning outcomes, while self regulatory ability received the lowest ratings. Correlation analysis confirmed significant positive associations between all five predictors and autonomous learning, with coefficients ranging from $r=0.435$ for learning motivation level to $r=0.738$ for learning satisfaction. Regression analysis further validated that learning outcomes ($\beta=0.336$, $p<0.01$), learning motivation level ($\beta=0.247$, $p<0.05$), learning engagement ($\beta=0.301$, $p<0.05$), self regulatory ability ($\beta=0.394$, $p<0.01$), and learning satisfaction ($\beta=0.426$, $p<0.01$) significantly influenced students' autonomous learning, jointly explaining 64.2% of the variance ($R^2=0.642$). Among these, learning satisfaction and self regulatory ability emerged as the most influential drivers, while learning motivation level played a weaker but still meaningful role.

Keywords: Learning outcomes, Learning motivation level, Learning engagement, Self regulatory ability, Learning satisfaction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Against the backdrop of rapid technological advancement and ever-changing social culture, the knowledge imparted by schools can no longer meet the needs of students' individual growth, making lifelong learning and autonomous learning an inevitable trend. China places great emphasis on building a learning society, with the 20th National Congress Report of the Party highlighting the

digital transformation of education, striving to foster a nationwide trend of lifelong learning and considering it a crucial pathway to achieving the goal of becoming a modernized powerhouse. The year 2025 is pivotal for implementing the spirit of the National

Education Conference and executing the Outline of the Plan for Building an Education Power, marking the conclusion of the 14th Five-Year Plan and the planning phase of the

15th Five-Year Plan. It also signifies a year of comprehensive strategy and high-level advancement in building an education power within a decade. The aim is to accelerate the process of building a learning-oriented nation. Under these circumstances, the college student population possesses distinct advantages in promoting the concept of lifelong learning. Autonomous learning ability is both a key component of cultivating innovative talents in higher education and a critical foundation for improving personal continuous development. The rapid advancement of information technology drives ongoing innovation in higher education, expanding autonomous learning beyond mere knowledge accumulation. Learners must comprehensively evaluate and refine their learning methods, continuously adjust strategies through feedback to achieve desired outcomes. Once specific learning goals are established, they can actively pursue them to attain predefined results. Under such goal-oriented and contextually constrained conditions, cognitive development, intrinsic motivation, and behavioral improvement generate synergistic effects (Jiang, 2025). Although educational reforms have established institutional support for autonomous learning, past literature indicates that students' progress in this area still faces numerous obstacles. Personal internal factors, school environment factors, and social environment factors play significant roles in this process, reflecting a complex and diverse interplay of influences. Personal internal factors include learning motivation, which constitutes a critical psychological factor determining whether students can sustain engagement (Wang, 2024). Current research suggests that students' autonomous learning abilities vary significantly across different task types. While most can achieve good results in short-term tasks, they often exhibit notable deficiencies in self-regulation when dealing with long-term, highly uncertain, and complex tasks. This indicates that students' current level of autonomous learning remains relatively low, unable to adapt to changing problems or maintain their motivational state. From the perspective of applied talent cultivation, this situation still falls short of societal needs (Fan et al., 2025).

Research questions

What are the factors affecting the autonomous learning of college students, in Dazhou City?

Research objectives

To identify the key factors affecting the autonomous learning of college students in Dazhou City.

Research hypotheses

H1: Learning outcomes have affecting autonomous learning of college students.

H2: Learning motivation level have affecting autonomous learning of college students.

H3: Learning engagement have affecting autonomous learning of college students..

H4: Self regulatory ability have affecting autonomous learning of college students..

H5: Learning satisfaction have affecting autonomous learning of college students.

Related research

1. Taking online courses at a certain university as an example (Liu, 2024), some studies analyzed the internal and external factors affecting the quality of online courses through questionnaire surveys to monitor the operation status of online courses; evaluated the teaching quality of online courses and conducted evaluation, tracking, and feedback on teaching effects through student questionnaires and other methods; through four semesters of empirical research, continuously enriched learning resources, improved instructional design, reformed teaching methods, and continuously improved the internal and external environment of online courses, resulting in a significant improvement in students' learning outcomes in online courses.

2. The study focuses on college students who have had self-directed learning experience in the past six months. Firstly, the research results and relevant theoretical basis on self-directed learning and information search behavior at home and abroad were sorted out, and theoretical analysis was conducted based on the characteristics of information search behavior in college students' self-directed

learning. Then, after sorting and summarizing, the variables of this study were summarized as metacognition, self-efficacy, search term construction ability, self-directed learning ability, learning environment, and information sources, and a conceptual model of the influencing factors of information search behavior in self-directed learning was established (He, 2024). Finally, by analyzing the data collected from the questionnaire survey, the hypotheses were validated and conclusions were drawn using data analysis methods such as factor analysis, correlation analysis, and regression analysis. By studying the influencing factors of college students' self-directed learning information search behavior, integrating existing concepts, further optimizing college students' information search behavior, and providing suggestions for improving information search ability from multiple perspectives.

3. Construct a research model that includes five influencing factors: perceived ease of use of technology, external learning environment, self-efficacy, learning motivation, and learning strategies. A research questionnaire was developed using a questionnaire survey method, with some college students participating in blended learning in S city as the research subjects. A total of 405 valid survey questionnaires were collected. The research results showed that in a blended learning environment, the perceived ease of use of technology significantly positively affects self-efficacy, learning motivation, learning strategies, and self-directed learning ability (Wu et al., 2024). In a blended learning environment, the external learning environment significantly positively influences self-efficacy, learning motivation, learning strategies, and self-directed learning ability. In a blended learning environment, self-efficacy, learning motivation, and learning strategies all have a significant positive impact on self-directed learning ability.

4. A study selected 174 non-English major college students from a vocational college as research subjects (Rui, 2022), adopting research methods such as questionnaire surveys, learner logs, and interviews to explore the relationship between learners' online English learning and self-regulatory ability, i.e., how learners with

different characteristics use self-regulatory ability to participate in online English classroom learning. The results showed that students' self-regulatory ability varied, and some students had poor self-regulatory ability in online course learning. Moreover, students' self-regulatory ability exhibited significant differences in the three dimensions of planning, behavior, and reflection, as well as in each component. After a sixteen-week teaching experiment, learners' self-regulatory ability was improved. The pre-test scores of the questionnaire were significantly lower than the post-test scores, and the frequency of students' use of the two dimensions of task strategies and self-evaluation increased significantly.

5. A study analyzed six factors influencing college students' learning satisfaction with group collaborative learning in an online learning environment (Liao & Li, 2023), designed a questionnaire, and proposed research hypotheses. Through validity testing and linear regression analysis, it was verified that learner characteristics, collaborative attitude, social presence, learning effectiveness, and technical environment had a high significance with learning satisfaction, while instructional design had a relatively insufficient impact.

6. Another study discussed the possibility and specific teaching strategies of constructing an autonomous learning model in high school physics teaching (Liu, 2022), and deeply analyzed how to cultivate students' autonomous learning ability, so as to provide some help for improving classroom efficiency, changing teaching concepts, and transforming students' learning methods. Quality-oriented education requires students to learn how to learn and take the initiative to learn, which is also the requirement of the times for talents. Therefore, cultivating students' autonomous learning ability is particularly important. Teachers should attach importance to the construction of the autonomous learning model in high school physics teaching, highlight the dominant position of students, and help them achieve learning goals by guiding them to analyze and explore independently.

Conceptual framework

Dependent variables: autonomous learning

Table 3. 2 presents the correlation coefficients between each influencing factor and the autonomous learning mode. All correlation coefficients are positive and range from 0.435 to 0.738, indicating significant positive correlations.

Specifically, the correlation coefficient for H5 is the highest at 0.738, showing the strongest positive relationship. H1 follows with 0.615, H4 with 0.672, H3 with 0.543, and H2 has the lowest correlation coefficient at 0.435, representing the weakest positive relationship.

Overall, all five hypotheses are supported by the correlation analysis, demonstrating that each factor is positively and significantly associated with the autonomous learning mode.

4. CONCLUSION

4.1 VARIABLE DISCUSSION

1. Learning outcomes ($\bar{x} = 3.52$) has the highest average value among all variables, with the highest score observed in the item "My learning outcomes often receive positive evaluations", which reflects students' high recognition of their own abilities and academic achievements. The relatively low scores of "I am able to continuously follow up on the forefront of academic trends and understand their value" (3.43) and "I have achieved good results in professional competitions or projects" (3.44) indicate that students still have room for improvement in exploring academic frontiers and participating in competitive practices.

2. Self regulatory ability ($\bar{x} = 3.42$) is the lowest among all core variables, reflecting the sample's relatively weak performance in self-management and learning adjustment. The highest score is found in "I can allocate my time reasonably to deal with multitasking learning" (3.50), indicating that students perform well in time management. The lowest scores are observed in "I can stick to long-term goals without being affected by short-term interference" (3.38) and "I am still able to complete learning tasks well even when my emotions fluctuate" (3.39), which reveals obvious shortcomings in students' goal persistence and emotional regulation.

3. Learning satisfaction ($\bar{x} = 3.49$) reaches its peak in the item "I am satisfied with my current

learning status" (3.54), reflecting a high level of student recognition of their learning status, available resources, and overall university experience. The relatively low scores of "I believe that the current learning mode is conducive to personal long-term development" (3.43) and "I am satisfied with the speed of my academic progress" (3.44) suggest that students hold reservations about the long-term value of the current learning model and their own academic progress rate.

4. Learning motivation level ($\bar{x} = 3.47$) is primarily driven by the item "I aspire to achieve personal growth through in-depth learning" (3.47), indicating that students' desire for self-growth and immersive learning experiences are the main sources of motivation. The items "I believe that learning is an important way to enhance self-worth" (3.44) and "The recognition or encouragement of others will enhance my learning motivation" (3.44) receive relatively low scores, reflecting students' insufficient sensitivity to value recognition and external motivational factors in learning.

5. Learning engagement ($\bar{x} = 3.46$) is strongest in the item "I dedicate a fixed amount of time to extracurricular learning every day" (3.51), which shows that students perform well in terms of time investment and resistance to distractions. The relatively low scores of "I can maintain a regular learning pace for a long time" (3.41) and "I can stay highly focused throughout the class" (3.36) indicate that students still need to strengthen their long-term learning persistence and in-class concentration.

6. Autonomous learning ($\bar{x} = 3.49$) demonstrates the highest level in the item "I will actively seek materials outside of textbooks to expand my knowledge" (3.56), reflecting students' strong initiative in knowledge expansion and resource acquisition. The lowest score appears in "I often independently develop both long-term and short-term study plans" (3.35), suggesting that there is room for improvement in students' systematic learning planning and goal-setting abilities.

4.2 CONCLUSION DISCUSSION

1. To further improve learning outcomes and enhance self regulatory ability (Wan et al., 2022), it is necessary to establish students'

- students' self-learning ability in preventive medicine courses *Journal of Practical Medical Technology*, 32 (12), 947-954
<https://doi.org/10.19522/j.cnki.1671-5098.2025.12.014>.
- He Li (2024). *Exploration of Autonomous Learning Mode for College English Listening and Speaking Based on Mobile Terminals Overseas English*, (19),114-116.
- Jiang F (2025). *Research on the integration and innovation of the "problem guided project-based" teaching mode - empowering autonomous learning and collaborative development of operational skills Gansu Education Research*, (19),8-12.
- Li YA (2024). *The Construction and Implementation of Autonomous Learning Mode for High School English in the Information Technology Environment Information technology education in primary and secondary schools*, (08),95-96.
- Liao B, Li M&Li X (2023). *A Study on the Satisfaction of Collaborative Learning among College Students in the Network Environment Journal of Jiamusi Vocational College*, 39 (04), 31-33
- Liu BH (2022). *Research on Strategies for Building Autonomous Learning Models in High School Physics Teaching Science enthusiasts (education and teaching)*, (02),40-42.
- Liu KM (2024). *Construction and Empirical Study of Evaluation Indicators for Online Courses Based on Learning Effectiveness Journal of Suzhou University of Education*, 27 (01), 38-43
<https://doi.org/10.13985/j.cnki.34-1227.c.2024.01.018>.
- Rui LX (2022). *Analysis of the Impact of Online English Learning on College Students' Self Regulation Ability (Master's Thesis, Shanxi University of Finance and Economics) Master's degree*
<https://doi.org/10.27283/d.cnki.gsxcc.2022.000381>.
- Shen Ping (2024). *The construction of students' self-directed learning mode in high school chemistry teaching School*, 17 (14), 28-30
- Wan C, Wang H&Li XY(2022). *Journal of Wuxi Vocational and Technical College*, 21 (05), 44-48
<https://doi.org/10.13750/j.cnki.issn.1671-7880.2022.05.010>.
- Wang H (2024). *Research on Strategies for Improving English Autonomous Learning Ability of Vocational College Students under Blended Teaching Mode In the world of public relations*, (15),151-153.
- Wang XL (2024). *Exploring the autonomous learning mode of English oral communication for application-oriented college students in the new media environment Overseas English*, (16),100-102.
- Wei XG (2024). *Research on Innovative Teaching Mode of Vocational Computer Course Based on Independent Learning - Taking Vocational Computer Application Basic Course as an Example Computer Knowledge and Technology*, 20 (22), 157-159
<https://doi.org/10.14004/j.cnki.ckt.2024.1204>.
- Wu Na, Hu Lili, Wang Jue, Yang Liyang&Li Zhen (2024). *Exploration of Autonomous Learning Mode in Experimental Teaching of Medical Molecular Biology Basic Medical Education*, 26 (11), 950-954
<https://doi.org/10.13754/j.issn2095-1450.2024.11.08>.

Authors' Biography:



Zhuoyun Li was born in Dazhou City, Sichuan Province, China on April 14, 1991. He graduated from the Vocational College of Economics of Sichuan Normal University from 2009 to 2012.

Study for a Master of Education Management (International Program) in Thailand from 2025 to 2026.