

SAU
SOUTHEAST ASIA
UNIVERSITY

ISO
13849-1

1 RESEARCH OVERVIEW



```

graph TD
    subgraph Primary_Channel [Safety PLC Primary Channel]
        P_DSI[Dual Safety Inputs Guard / E-Stop]
        P_DSO[Dual Safety Outputs Contactors / Valves]
    end
    subgraph Secondary_Channel [Safety PLC Secondary Channel]
        S_DSI[Dual Safety Inputs Guard / E-Stop]
        S_DSO[Dual Safety Outputs Contactors / Valves]
    end
    P_Channel[Safety PLC Primary Channel] <--> S_Channel[Safety PLC Secondary Channel]
    P_DSI <--> P_DSO
    S_DSI <--> S_DSO
    P_DSO -.-> FM[Feedback Monitoring Cross Monitoring]
    S_DSO -.-> FM
    
```

	Entanglement Hazard	Crushing Hazard	Unexpected Startup	Pneumatic Cylinder Impact	Guard Open During Operation
Hazard					
Injury	Severe Laceration Amputation	Fracture Severe Injury	Sudden Motion Impact Injury	Impact / Struck By Serious Injury	Exposed Zone High Risk
Safety Requirement	Prevent access to moving parts	Prevent crushing between objects	Prevent unexpected energization	Prevent uncontrolled cylinder motion	Prevent operation with guard open
Risk Severity	HIGH	HIGH	HIGH	MEDIUM	HIGH

Goal
Develop a risk-based engineering framework for SRP/CS design and verification

- 1** Hazard Identification
- 2** Risk Assessment
- 3** PLr Determination
- 4** Architecture Optimization
- 5** Reliability Modeling
- 6** Performance Verification
- 7** Sensitivity Analysis
- 8** Validation Strategy

R = f (S, F, P)

S = Severity of Injury

F = Frequency / Exposure

P = Possibility of Avoidance

S

S1 Slight

S

S2 Serious
(Severe Injury / Death)

F

F1 Rare to Occasional

F

F2 Frequent to Continuous

P

P1 Possible under specific conditions

P

P2 Scarcely Possible

ISO 12100 RISK GRAPH

The graph is a 2D plot with Risk Level (S, F, P) on the vertical axis and Risk Level (PLr) on the horizontal axis. The vertical axis has labels S1 (Slight) and S2 (Serious). The horizontal axis has labels P1 (Possible) and P2 (Scarcely Possible). The color scale ranges from Green (Low) to Red (Very High). A diagonal line represents the required performance level (PLr) for different risk levels. A blue dot is placed on the line between P1 and P2, indicating a required performance level of 'b'.

Risk Level	Low (Green)	Moderate (Yellow)	High (Orange)	Very High (Red)
PLr	a	b	c	d / e

Automated Size Sorting Conveyor System



- 1 Conveyor Drive
- 2 Inverter
- 3 Safety PLC
- 4 Pneumatic Cylinder
- 5 Emergency Stop Devices
- 6 Safety Guard Switches
- 7 Operator Access Zone
- 8 Feedback Monitoring System

SF1
Emergency Stop of Conveyor
Stops conveyor safely upon emergency.



SF2
Prevent Cylinder Motion when Guard Open
Prevents cylinder actuation when guard is open.



CATEGORY 3 ARCHITECTURE

- ✗ Single Fault Vulnerability
- ✗ Limited Diagnostics
- ✗ Lower Fault Tolerance
- ✗ PLD Capability

VS

Moderate Safety Performance

CATEGORY 4 ARCHITECTURE (SELECTED)

- ✓ Dual-Channel Redundancy
- ✓ Cross-Monitoring
- ✓ Feedback Diagnostics
- ✓ Fault Tolerant
- ✓ Continuous Monitoring
- ✓ PLD Capability

High Safety Performance

DIGITAL TWIN VISUALIZATION

- Dual Guard Switches
- Dual Safety PLCs
- Dual Contactors
- Dual Valves
- Cross Monitoring Network
- Feedback Monitoring

A MTTFd ANALYSIS

Reliability

Operation Cycles (D)

B10d Point

MTTF_d > 30 YEARS
High Reliability Achieved

B DIAGNOSTIC COVERAGE

DC_{avg} ≥ 99%

Dual Channel Redundancy	✓ OK
Cross Monitoring	✓ OK
Test Pulse Detection	✓ OK
Safety PLC Diagnostics	✓ OK
Feedback Monitoring	✓ OK

High Diagnostic Coverage Achieved

C COMMON CAUSE FAILURE (CCF)

Power Separation 15

Diversity 10

Environmental Protection 10

EMI Mitigation 25

Cable Segregation 15

FMEA 5

TOTAL SCORE 80 / 100

THRESHOLD ≥ 65

PASS ✓

CCF Risk Acceptable

PERFORMANCE LEVEL LADDER
(ISO 13849-1)

Highest Safety

Lowest Safety

e

d

c

b

a

Achieved $PL = e$

Required $PL_r = c$

Safety Margin Achieved

	ISO 13849-1	IEC 62061
Safety Metric	Performance Level (PL)	Safety Integrity Level (SIL)
Architecture	Category-Based	SIL (1, 2, 3, 4)
Reliability Metric	MTTFd, B10d	PFHd
Suitable Systems	Electromechanical, Pneumatic, Simple E/E	Complex E/E/PE, Software-Based
Application	General Machinery, Small-Medium Systems	Large Automation Systems, Process Industry
Implementation	Easier, Cost-Effective	Complex, Higher Cost

- 1 Risk-based design improves safety transparency and decision-making.
- 2 Category 4 architecture significantly improves fault tolerance.
- 3 High diagnostic coverage enhances system reliability and fault detection.
- 4 Achieved PLe exceeds required PLR with sufficient safety margin.

SCENARIO	DC _{avg}	MTTF _d	ACHIEVED PL	RESILIENCY
Baseline (Optimal)	99%	High (>30 yrs)	e	■■■■■
Reduced DC	90%	High	d	■■■■■
Reduced MTTF _d	99%	Medium (10-20 yrs)	d	■■■■■
Both Reduced	90%	Medium	c	■■■■■

System remains COMPLIANT in all realistic scenarios

	Safety Metric	Safety Integrity Level (SIL)
	Architecture	SIL (1, 2, 3, 4)
	Reliability Metric	PFHd
	Suitable Systems	Complex E/E/PE, Software-Based
	Application	Large Automation Systems, Process Industry
	Implementation	Complex, Higher Cost

- Risk-based design improves safety transparency and decision-making.
- Category 4 architecture significantly improves fault tolerance.
- High diagnostic coverage enhances system reliability and fault detection.

ISO 13849-1 CERTIFIED DESIGN FRAMEWORK FOR SAFE MACHINERY

PL_r = c → Achieved PL = e → CCF Score = 80 → DC_{avg} ≥ 99% → MTTF_d > 30 YEARS → SAFETY FUNCTIONS FULLY COMPLIANT

SISTEMA Analysis → Fault Injection Testing → Field Reliability Monitoring